1 2 3 4	DARRELL D. DENNIS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6618 STEVEN B. ABBOTT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10303 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	
5	TEL: 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789	
6	E-Mail: <u>Darrell.Dennis@lewisbrisbois.com</u> E-Mail: <u>Steven.Abbott@lewisbrisbois.com</u>	
7	Attorneys for Defendants JOHN FINNEGAN And TRULY NOLEN OF AMERICA, INC.	
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11		
12	ALEKSANDRE GOGYAN, Individually;	CASE NO.
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	PETITION FOR REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (DIVERSITY)
15	JOHN FINNEGAN, TRULY NOLEN OF AMERICA, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100: and ROE CORPORATIONS 101	
16	through 200.	
17	Defendants.	
18 19	DETITION FOR DEMOVAL OF ACTIV	
	PETITION FOR REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (DIVERSITY)	
20	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), Defendant JOHN FINNEGAN and Defendant	
21	TRULY NOLEN OF AMERICA, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), by and	
22	through its counsel of record, the law firm of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP,	
23	files this Petition for Removal of Clark County District Court Case No. A-17-761708-C	
24	styled Aleksandre Gogyan, Plaintiff vs. John Finnegan, Truly Nolen of America, Inc.	
25	Defendant(s) and states as follows:	
26	1. On May 2, 2022, Plaintiff ALEKSANDRE GOGYAN ("Plaintiff") commenced	
27	an action in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, entitled	
28	Aleksandre Gogyan, Plaintiff vs. John Finnegan, Truly Nolen of America, Inc. Defendant(s)	



Case No. A-22-850890-C. Copies of the Complaint and Summons are attached and marked respectively as *Exhibits "A"* and *"B,"* constituting all of the pleadings served on Defendants. Defendant TRULY NOLEN OF AMERICA, INC. was served on May 4, 2022. There are no other defendants in this matter.

- 2. This action is a civil action of which this Honorable Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and is one which may be removed to this Court by Defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 3. Plaintiff ALEKSANDRE GOGYAN is a citizen of the state of Nevada; Defendant TRULY NOLEN OF AMERICA, INC. is a corporation organized under the laws of Arizona with its principal place of business including its corporate headquarters located in Arizona. Defendant JOHN FINNEGAN is a citizen of the State of Florida. Thus, Plaintiff and Defendants are citizens of different states and diversity jurisdiction is appropriate.
- 4. Venue is appropriate in the unofficial Southern District of the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (a) and LR IA 1-6.
- 5. The matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000. Plaintiff asserted in his Complaint that he is entitled to general damages in excess of \$15,000; special damages in excess of \$15,000; property damages, rental car fees, towing fees, attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff in bringing his claims; and for interest and costs incurred by the Plaintiff in bringing his claims. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is claiming severe injuries as a result of the motor vehicle accident, including pain to his neck, back, shoulder, head, and disorientation. Plaintiff alleges he has been rendered sick and lame as a result of the accident in his complaint. Consequently, given the extent of Plaintiff's claimed damages, Plaintiff is likely to ask a jury for more than the \$75,000 jurisdictional threshold.
- 6. A defendant's duty is not to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the plaintiffs are likely to recover an amount in excess of the threshold. Rather, the jurisdictional minimum in diversity cases is determined by the amount at stake to either

party. *Hamrick v. REO Props. Corp.*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85073 (Nev. 2010). In other words, the amount in controversy is satisfied when the plaintiff's potential gain exceeds the jurisdictional limit. *Id.* The pertinent question the Court is to ask is whether or not plaintiff is likely to ask a jury for an amount above \$75,000. *Canonico v. Seals*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 60047 (Nev. 2013). As such, the appropriate figure to use in determining whether Defendants have presented adequate evidence to establish the amount in controversy is not the probable amount that Plaintiff will recover, but rather the total potential value of Plaintiff's claims, considering all of the allegations and all the asserted damages. If Defendants can show by a preponderance of evidence that: (1) Plaintiff is likely to ask from the jury an amount over the jurisdictional threshold, or (2) were a jury to award Plaintiff full recovery that award would be over the jurisdiction threshold, then jurisdiction with the Federal Court should remain. As such, it is apparent from the alleged damages that the amount in controversy is in excess of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and that Defendants have satisfied the jurisdictional threshold.

15 || /

17 || / / /

19 || / / /

21 || / / /

23 || / / /

25 || / / /

27 || / / /

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

7. Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully submits that (a) there is 1 diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants, and (b) the amount in controversy 2 exceeds \$75,000. This action is, therefore, properly removed to the United States District 3 Court for the unofficial Southern District of Nevada. 4 5 DATED this 23rd day of May, 2022. 6 7 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 8 9 /s/ Steven Abbott By DARRELL D. DENNIS, ESQ. 10 Nevada Bar No. 6618 STEVEN B. ABBOTT, ESQ. 11 Nevada Bar No. 10303 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Telephone: 702.893.3383 13 Attorneys for Defendants 14 TRULÝ NOLEN OF AMERICA, INC. and JOHN FINNEGAN 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LEWIS
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LIP

4

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2022, a true and correct copy of **PETITION FOR REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (DIVERSITY)** was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's Electronic Service system and serving all parties with an email-address on record, who have agreed to receive Electronic Service in this action.

Alexander McTarian 7 Nevada Bar No. 14404 8 Jamie Corcoran Nevada Bar no. 11790 9 **NEVADA LEGAL GROUP** 3225 S. Rainbow, Suite 204 10 Las Vegas, NV 89146 702-538-7824 11 Jamie@nevadalegalgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

/s/ Cíndv Halas
An Employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD

An Employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARI & SMITH LLP

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT I AW